

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,275	12/06/2001	Gerd Konrad Bayer	DE920000990US1	3365
47049	7590 08/04/2005		EXAM	INER
FERENCE & ASSOCIATES 409 BROAD STREET			FAROOQ, MO	HAMMAD O
PITTSBURGH, PA 15143			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	· · ·		2182	
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/683,275	BAYER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mohammad O. Farooq	2182
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Th 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 December 2001</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	fare: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square obe drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application or the decoments have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1,3, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,801,927.
- 2. As to claim 1, Smith et al. teach method, characterized by the steps of:

operating a local memory (items 123 and 124, fig. 1) being associated with the network coupling adapter as a cache memory (item 123, fig. 1) relative to a system memory (item, 123, fig. 1) for storing transmission control information (communication protocol stack is a common protocol stack, item 134, fig. 1 including TCP/IP which is stored in the working memory as a part of working memory, item 124, fig. 1; col. 5, lines 6-37; col. 5, line 51 – col. 6, line 26), wherein information other than transmission control information is stored in the system memory (non-volatile memory, item 123, fig. 1, contains data and code which is other than transmission control information; col. 5, lines 38-50).

3. As to claim 3, Smith et al. teach method comprising the steps of using said transmission control for the processing of queues or queue pairs (col. 8, lines 55-67).

Art Unit: 2182

4. As to claim 12, Smith et al. teach network coupling element comprising a local memory being operable as a cache memory (items 133 and 123, fig. 1) relative to said interconnected memory (fig. 1; col. 5, line 39 – col. 6, line 43).

5. As to claim 14, Smith et al. teach system comprises a local memory being operable as a cache memory (items 133 and 123, fig. 1) for storing transmission control information (fig. 1; col. 5, line 39 – col. 6, line 43).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 4-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,801,927 in view of Pettey et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,594,712.
- 7. As to claim 2, Smith et al. do not teach InfiniBand Architecture.

Pettey et al. teach InfiniBand Architecture (abstract; col. 3, line 1 – col. 4, line 22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Smith et al. and Pettey et al. because that would avoid the reduction in usable bandwidth of local bus of the system (col. 3, lines 20-28).

8. As to claim 4, Smith et al. teach method comprising the steps of using said transmission control for the processing of completion queue (col. 8, lines 55-67).

- 9. As to claim 5, Smith et al. teach method comprising the steps of using said transmission control for processing of address translation and protection tables (inherent because of connections between adapter and server; and adapter and clients; col. 5, lines 51-62).
- 10. As to claim 6, Smith et al. teach method comprising the steps of using said local memory for connecting at least one computer device (i.e. server) to a network (i.e. between adapter and clients; col. 5, lines 51-62).
- 11. As to claim 7, Smith et al. teach method comprising the steps of using said transmission control information for bundled per queue or queue pair (col. 8, lines 55-67).
- 12. As to claim 8, Smith et al. teach method comprising the steps of configuring said cache memory not to discard transmission control information for particular queues after casting-out (col. 6, line 52- col. 7, line 15; col. 8, lines 55-67).
- 13. As to claim 9, Smith et al. teach method comprising the step of writing said transmission control information to the local memory (col. 5, line 39 col. 6, line 43).

Art Unit: 2182

However, Smith et al. do not teach InfiniBand. Pettey et al. teach InfiniBand

Architecture (abstract; col. 3, line 1 – col. 4, line 22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to
one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Smith et al.
and Pettey et al. because that would provide translation of virtual addresses of multiple different
remote nodes for the network (col. 4, lines 47-54).

- 14. As to claim 10, Smith et al. teach method comprising the steps of using said previous steps for connecting a plurality of I/O hardware devices associated with a computing device (inherent since connections of clients, server and adapter; col. 5, line 39 col. 6, line 43).
- 15. As to claim 11, Smith et al. teach method comprising the steps of using said previous step for providing communication channels for interprocess communication between a plurality of process associated with one or more computing devices (inherent since connections of clients, server and adapter; col. 5, line 39 col. 6, line 43).
- 16. As to claim 13, Smith et al. teach network coupling element comprising a local memory being operable as a cache memory (items 133 and 123, fig. 1) relative to said interconnected memory (fig. 1; col. 5, line 39 col. 6, line 43).

Art Unit: 2182

However, Smith et al. do not teach InfiniBand Architecture. Pettey et al. teach InfiniBand Architecture (abstract; col. 3, line 1 – col. 4, line 22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Smith et al. and Pettey et al. because that would provide translation of virtual addresses of multiple different remote nodes for the network (col. 4, lines 47-54).

Art Unit: 2182

Response to Arguments

17. Applicant's arguments filed May 10, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

18. The examiner disagrees with the applicants remarks the reference Smith et al. do not anticipate claim 1 of the application. It should be noted that the reference(s) must be considered as a whole. The reference Smith et al. do teach relieving the CPU of the connection management burden (col. 1, lines 52-55). In the reference, the adapter card comprised of proxy cache (item 133, fig. 1) and communication protocol stack (item 134; fig. 1); and this protocol stack includes transmission control protocol stack (col. 5, line 6-38). Since claims are to be given broadest reasonable interpretation, then one can consider item 124, in fig. 1 as the cache memory storing transmission control information; and item 123, in fig.1 as the system memory or non-volatile memory wherein other than transmission control information is stored such as data and code as the applicants claim to be the invention in claim 1.

The reference Smith et al. teach network adapter card and Petty et al. teach infiniband channel adapter and therefore, it would be obvious to combine and would produce expectation of success. Furthermore, the motivation to combine the references is in col. 3, lines 20-28 of the Petty et al. reference. Therefore, combining the references would obviously produce the claimed invention to one of ordinary skill in the art.

After considering all of the above facts, the examiner has retained the rejection of previously rejected claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,275

Art Unit: 2182

Page 8

19. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2182

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad O. Farooq whose telephone number is (571) 272-4144. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on (571) 272-4083. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KIM HUYNH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mohammad O. Farooq July 27, 2005